

Bayshore Village Effluent Spray Irrigation Class EA Update

December 11, 2023

Existing Sewage Works



- Sewage from Bayshore Village is pumped to 2 stabilization and storage ponds (lagoons)
- Treated effluent is spray irrigated on the South and North fields from May to October
- Effluent disposal evapotranspiration infiltration
- by and

Problem Statement

- The effluent is spray irrigated on fields that have been in continuous operation since the 1980s
- Soils have become compacted and have reduced infiltration capacity
- Increasingly difficult to dispose of effluent from May to October
- Public concerns with potential runoff and impacts on humans/farm animals, aerosols, drainage
- Need to find the most appropriate solution for the disposal of the lagoon effluent

Main Considerations

The preferred solution needs to:

- Provide the required effluent disposal capacity without runoff to ditches and Wainman Creek
- Provide some spare capacity for operational flexibility
- Involve reasonable level of effort for operation and maintenance
- Have reasonable capital costs for construction, equipment and land
- Address adjacent residents' concerns
- Be acceptable to the MECP so that an approval can be obtained

Brief Project History

- Class EA Study Report issued in 2017
- Preferred solutions were in 2017:
 - Immediate: Establish one additional spray area on field west of lagoons
 - Long Term: Abandon spray irrigation, build tertiary STP with effluent discharged to Wainman Creek/Lake Simcoe
- MECP comments: EA cannot recommend solution that does not meet LSPP policies, and further analysis of spray irrigation option is required
- Over many years, Township discussed and argued project with provincial politicians and MECP staff
- In 2022, Township resolved to abandon the long term STP solution and asked Tatham to update and finalize the Class EA

Updates

- Bayshore Village inflow and infiltration study
 - Sources of extraneous flows identified
 - Ongoing repairs
 - Inflow and infiltration currently under control
- Spray irrigation days
 - Fields designed for 100 spray days per season
 - Average number of spray days since 2014: 65 days
 - When spray season extended to end of October: 75 days
 - Allowable application rate: 55 m³/ha/day

Long List of Alternative Solutions

Do nothing – Status quo

- 1. Reduce inflow and infiltration in sewers
- 2. Increase spray irrigation rate on existing spray fields
- 3. Establish 1 new spray irrigation field (West)
- 4. Establish 1 new spray irrigation field (West) and decommission North field
- 5. Establish 2 new spray irrigation fields and decommission North field
- 6. Build effluent disposal bed on the West field and continue spray irrigation on the South field
- 7. Build effluent disposal bed on the South field and establish new spray irrigation field (West)
- 8. Build effluent disposal bed and discontinue spray irrigation
- 9. Pump effluent from lagoons to Lagoon City STP and expand STP
- 10. Upgrade lagoons with tertiary STP and discharge effluent to Wainman Creek (Lake Simcoe)

Alternative Solutions Screening

Criteria for Screening:

- Must meet the Problem Statement
- Must meet current MECP guidelines and LSPP policies
- Must be financially viable

Screened out

Do Nothing and six alternatives in the long list



Do Nothing/ Status Quo

- Continue with spray irrigation on existing fields
- Cannot dispose of annual effluent volume on existing fields in 65 or 75 spray days at MECP allowed spray irrigation rate
- Cannot meet the Problem Statement
- SCREENED OUT

Alternative 1: Reduce Inflow and Infiltration

- Continue with I/I monitoring and control
- Helps but cannot, on its own, address the Problem Statement

Alternative 2: Increase Spray Irrigation Application Rate

- Seek MECP approval to apply effluent at higher rate on existing spray fields
- May cause runoff
- SCREENED OUT

Alternative 3: Use the South & North Fields and Add the West Field

- 41 ha is sufficient to dispose of annual volume in 65 spray days
- Estimated project cost: \$1.6M

Alternative 4: Use the South Field Only and Add the West Field

- Insufficient spray area to dispose of annual volume in less than 75 days
- SCREENED OUT





Alternative 5: Establish Two New Spray Irrigation Fields

- Continue spray on the South Field; decommission the North Field
- Add 2 spray fields: West Field (16 ha) and 13 ha field TBD
- Shortest distance to area outside of EP lands: 3-4 km
- Estimated project cost: \$11.3 M
- SCREENED OUT

Alternative 6: Build Effluent Disposal Bed on West Field and Keep Spray Irrigation on South Field

- Continue spray on the South Field; decommission the North Field
- Build 292 m³/day effluent disposal bed on West field used year-round
- Estimated project cost: \$6.2 M





Alternative 7: Build Effluent Disposal Bed on South Field and Spray Irrigate on West Field

- Establish spray irrigation on West field
- Decommission spray irrigation on South and North fields
- Build 274 m³/day effluent disposal bed on South field used year-round
- Phased project
- Estimated project cost: \$8.3 M

Alternative 8: Build EffluentDisposalBedDiscontinue Spray Irrigation

- Decommission all spray fields
- Build 399 m³/day effluent disposal bed on West field used year-round
- Estimated project cost: \$7.3M



Alternative 9: Pump Lagoon Effluent to Lagoon City STP

- Decommission all spray fields
- Pump lagoon effluent to Lagoon City STP
- Expand Lagoon City STP
- 2 routes considered
- Project costs:
 - Short route: \$20M
 - Long route: \$36 M
- SCREENED OUT



Alternative 10: Tertiary STP with Discharge to Lake Simcoe

- Does not meet Lake Simcoe Protection Plan policies
- Will not be approved by MECP
- SCREENED OUT

Preliminary Assessment

- Continue with spray irrigation on existing fields and add a new field to the west (Alt. 3)
 - Lowest cost solution
 - Risk that weather prevents disposal of all effluent each year
- Adding a large effluent disposal bed and keeping a spray irrigation field (Alt 6. or Alt. 7)
 - Significantly higher cost than Alt. 3
 - Very low risk of insufficient disposal capacity
 - Reduces risk of potential impacts to environment and residents
 - Operation and maintenance of 2 systems
 - Spray irrigation could be replaced with disposal bed in a second phase
- Abandoning spray irrigation and building a large effluent disposal bed (Alt. 8)
 - Higher cost than Alt 3 and Alt 6
 - Eliminates risk of insufficient capacity due to weather
 - Reduces O&M requirements
 - Reduces risk of potential impacts to environment and residents

Next Steps

- Air quality assessment of existing and proposed spray irrigation fields
- Archaeological assessment of West field
- Additional geotechnical investigation of West field
- Consultation with residents and review agencies
 - Information package
 - PIC early in 2024
- Final evaluation of alternatives
- Class EA Report



Bayshore Village Effluent Spray Irrigation Class EA Update